

Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical

Sciences

Methotrexate in Urgent Biological Practice: Adaptation and Validation of The Emit Technique on Mindray BS-240 Analyzer.

Cherif Redouane Amine^{1*}, Yargui Lyece², Bouaicha Malika Nawel¹, Kassah-Laouar Ahmed³, Moumen Messaoud Abderrahmane⁴, and Mekki Ahmed Hachem⁴.

¹Department of Pharmacy, Mostefa Ben Boulaid, Batna 2 University, Analytical Chemistry Laboratory, Batna University Hospital, Algeria.

²Department of Medicine, Benyoucef Benkhedda, Alger 1 University, Head of Biochemistry Laboratory, Mustafa Bacha University Hospital, Algiers, Algeria.

³Department of Medicine, Mostefa Ben Boulaid, Batna 2 University, Head of Central Laboratory, Anti-Cancer Center Batna, Algeria.

⁴Department of Pharmacy, Mostefa Ben Boulaid, Batna 2 University, Central Laboratory, Anti-Cancer Center Batna, Algeria.

ABSTRACT

Ensure a continuous determination of methotrexatemia in hospitals emergency departments by methotrexate EMIT assay adaptation and validation on the Mindray BS-240 analyzer. The validation was performed according to the European Medicines Agency's guideline on bio analytical method validation. A dosing range from 0.20 to 1.20 μ mol/L was established. Accuracy and imprecision (CV%) were compliant, ranging from 88.00 to 107.70% and 1.12 to 7.90% respectively. The method was selective without carry-over and remained stable for at least 35 days. Validation responded favorably to all specification. Present method allows 24 hours a day therapeutic monitoring of methotrexate which can help clinicians in early diagnosis of potential toxicities related to high dose methotrexate treatments.

Keywords: Methotrexate, Therapeutic Monitoring, Mindray BS-240, Validation, Urgent Practice.



*Corresponding author

9(1)



INTRODUCTION

Methotrexate (MTX) is an anti-metabolic drug used in cancer treatments especially leukemias. It presents the advantage of having an antidote, folinic acid, which prevents toxicities that may occur during high dose MTX courses.

Therapeutic monitoring of serum MTX levels plays an important role in ensuring molecule good elimination, predicting adverse reactions occurrence and adjustment of folinic acid rescue according to methotrexatemias [1, 2].

Different analytical principles have been developed for MTX therapeutic monitoring including high performance liquid chromatography which represents the reference method thanks to its great sensitivity and specificity; however it requires expensive equipment not available to all laboratories. On the other hand, immunoassays are widespread and convenient to routine activities.

The present work was motivated by the fact that MTX monitoring at Batna University Hospital is carried out by biochemistry department, however, the laboratory does not exercise neither at night nor during the weekend, which makes it impossible to perform MTX assay at these periods. Thus, in order to answer all the requests and ensure a continuous determination of methotrexatemia, an adaptation of the EMIT MTX assay was performed in hospital emergency department, on the Mindray BS-240 analyzer, on which no adaptation has been done so far. The validation was carried out according to the European Medicines Agency's (EMA) guideline on bioanalytical method validation [3].

The adaptation allows a MTX assay at any time, meets clinical needs and generalizes the procedure in hospitals with easily reproducible method on programmable open systems.

DESIGN AND METHODS

Instrumentation

Adaptation was performed on Mindray BS-240, a compact analyzer that allows easy reagent cartridges installation and new methods configuration with high analysis cadence. Characteristics that explain its wide use in hospitals emergency departments. Configuration of EMIT MTX assay is detailed in Appendix A.

Reagents and samples

Metotrexate Syva EMIT Siemens® Assay Kit (ref 6L119UL) was used, including A and B reagents, concentrated buffer and calibrators. Controls were prepared from commercial Mylan® MTX solutions for injection (100 mg/mL) with final dilution in pooled serum from patients not receiving MTX. Reagents, calibrators and quality controls preparation was carried out as described in Appendix B. Samples were collected in vacuum tubes and centrifuged during 15 min at 3000 rpm.

Methotrexate assay analytical principle

Assays were performed by a homogeneous enzyme immunoassay method based on the competition between MTX potentially present in the sample and MTX labeled with the glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH) enzyme.

A first phase consisted in bringing into contact sample MTX molecules and the anti-MTX antibodies contained in reagent A which also comprises the glucose-6-phosphate substrate. After an incubation time, the mixture is added with methotrexate labeled with G6PDH enzyme contained in reagent B. G6PDH thus converts glucose-6-phosphate to 6-phospho-gluconolactone while reducing NAD+ to NADH, the latter is responsible for spectrophotometric absorption at a wavelength of 340 nm.

In case of a binding between the labeled MTX and the antibody, a decrease in enzyme activity is noted. The signal obtained at the end of reaction is therefore proportional to MTX concentration in the sample.



Method validation

The adopted protocol followed the EMA's guideline on bioanalytical method validation.

Calibration curve

Response function has been established from 7 calibration standards (0.00; 0.20; 0.30; 0.50; 0.75; 1.00 et 1.20 μ mol/L). The lower (LLOQ) and upper (ULOQ) limits of quantification were set at 0.20 and 1.20 μ mol/L, respectively. Each calibrator concentration was back-calculated 10 times on the basis of the selected function.

Accuracy and precision

Determination was based on the back-calculated results obtained from four concentration levels covering the linearity range: 0.20 μ mol/L (LLOQ), 0.35 μ mol/L (low quality control), 0.50 μ mol/L (medium quality control) and 1.00 μ mol/L (high quality control). 10 replicates were performed for each level at the same day (intra-day) and over 3 different days (inter-day). For the accuracy, the mean value had to be within 100 ± 20% of LLOQ theoretical value and within 100 ± 15% for other levels. Precision was estimated by calculating the coefficient of variation (CV) expressed in % and whose value should not exceed 20% for LLOQ and 15% for other controls.

Selectivity

Selectivity was proved by analysing 10 samples from 10 different patients not receiving MTX. Each results must give a response less than 20% of LLOQ (<0.04 μ mol/L).

Stability

Evaluation was performed on samples representing low and high quality controls (0.35 and 1.00 μ mol/L respectively). Samples were aliquoted and stored at two different temperatures, +4°C and -20°C. Reagent cartridges and calibrators were stored at +4°C throughout the stability study. The analyses were performed on D1; D7; D14; D21, D28 and D35. The mean concentration at each level should be within ±15% of the theoretical concentration.

Dilution integrity

Three dilution factors were tested: 2; 5 and 10. Dilutions were performed from sera with concentrations greater than 1.20 μ mol/L (3.50 and 1.50 μ mol/L). For each factor, 5 distinct dilutions were made with diluted buffer and then assayed. Accuracy and precision should be within ±15%.

Carry over

Carry over was assessed by analyzing blank samples after high concentration samples at ULOQ. Each pair has been replicated 5 times. Blank sample results should not be greater than 20% of LLOQ (<0.04 μ mol/L).

Limit of detection

Limit of detection (LOD) was determined according to the ICH Q2R1 validation of analytical procedures guideline [4] where LOD = $3.3*\sigma/S$. σ represents the standard deviation of the responses obtained by analysing 10 blank samples. S represents the slope of the calibration curve.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, we present an adaptation of the Emit MTX kit on Mindray BS-240 and a validation according to EMA's guideline on bioanalytical method.



The linearity equation was y = 1.002x - 0.006 ($r^2 = 0.995$) where y is the measured analyte and x the target concentration. Accuracy and precision results of all back-calculated calibrators were suitable (Table 1).

| Calibrants (μmol/L) | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.20 |
|------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| Tests | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0.19 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.78 | 1.01 | 1.17 |
| 2 | 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 0.78 | 1.04 | 1.19 |
| 3 | 0.19 | 0.30 | 0.52 | 0.78 | 1.02 | 1.16 |
| 4 | 0.18 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.76 | 1.02 | 1.16 |
| 5 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.50 | 0.79 | 1.03 | 1.17 |
| 6 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.51 | 0.79 | 1.05 | 1.16 |
| 7 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.51 | 0.78 | 1.05 | 1.15 |
| 8 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.51 | 0.76 | 1.03 | 1.17 |
| 9 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.51 | 0.78 | 1.02 | 1.16 |
| 10 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.51 | 0.78 | 1.02 | 1.14 |
| Mean (µmol/L) | 0.183 | 0.278 | 0.506 | 0.778 | 1.029 | 1.163 |
| SD (μmol/L) | 0.011 | 0.015 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.014 | 0.013 |
| Accuracy (%) | 91.50 | 92.67 | 101.20 | 103.73 | 102.90 | 96.92 |
| CV (%) | 5.79 | 5.31 | 2.12 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.15 |

Table 1: Calibrators back calculated concentrations

Quality controls for both intra and inter-day groups were within specifications of accuracy (ranging from 88.00 to 107.70%) and imprecision with CV% varying from 1.12 to 7.90% (Table 2).

Table 2: Accuracy and precision tests results

| Quality Controls (μmol/L) | | 0.20 | | | 0.35 | | | 0.50 | | | 1.00 | |
|---------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|------------|-----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Days | D1 | D2 | D3 | D1 | D2 | D3 | D1 | D2 | D3 | D1 | D2 | D3 |
| Tests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.49 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 1.05 | 1.08 |
| 2 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.49 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 1.10 |
| 3 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.52 | 1.05 | 1.06 | 1.10 |
| 4 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 1.05 | 1.06 | 1.07 |
| 5 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 1.04 | 1.05 | 1.08 |
| 6 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 1.04 | 1.10 | 1.06 |
| 7 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 1.04 | 1.08 | 1.06 |
| 8 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.31 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 1.03 | 1.06 | 1.08 |
| 9 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.50 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 1.04 | 1.07 | 1.06 |
| 10 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.49 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 1.04 | 1.09 | 1.08 |
| Mean (µmol/L) | 0.196 | 0.204 | 0.176 | 0.337 | 0.334 | 0.337 | 0.495 | 0.508 | 0.515 | 1.046 | 1.068 | 1.077 |
| SD (µmol/L) | 0.005 | 0.015 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.005 | 0.015 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.018 | 0.012 | 0.017 | 0.015 |
| Accuracy (%) | 98.00 | 102.00 | 88.00 | 96.29 | 95.43 | 96.29 | 99.00 | 101.60 | 103.00 | 104.60 | 106.80 | 107.70 |
| CV (%) | 2.63 | 7.38 | 2.93 | 2.44 | 1.55 | 4.43 | 2.18 | 2.03 | 3.46 | 1.12 | 1.58 | 1.39 |
| | | | | 30 rej | olicates r | esults ov | er the 3 | days | | | | |
| Mean (μmol/L) | | 0.192 | | | 0.336 | | | 0.506 | | | 1.064 | |
| SD (µmol/L) | | 0.015 | | | 0.010 | | | 0.015 | | | 0.019 | |
| Accuracy (%) | | 96.00 | | | 96.00 | | | 101.20 | | | 106.37 | |
| CV (%) | | 7.90 | | | 2.99 | | | 3.05 | | | 1.82 | |

January-February

9(1)



The LLOQ estimated at 0.20 μ mol/L was satisfactory with a maximum CV% of 7.90% and accuracy varying from 88 to 102%, which allows discontinuation of folinic acid rescue by clinicians [5, 6]. LOD presented a value of 0.0104 μ mol/L.

Method proved to be selective with all blank samples signals < 0.04 μ mol/L and without carry over. Dilution factors results were within standards and presented a maximum imprecision and accuracy of 2.09% and 105.60% respectively (Table 3).

| Stock solution (µmol/L) | 1.50 | 3. | 50 |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|
| Dilution factor | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| Working solution (µmol/L) | 0.75 | 0.70 | 0.35 |
| Tests | | | |
| 1 | 0.78 | 0.73 | 0.33 |
| 2 | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.33 |
| 3 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.34 |
| 4 | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.34 |
| 5 | 0.78 | 0.71 | 0.33 |
| Mean (μmol/L) | 0.792 | 0.726 | 0.334 |
| SD (μmol/L) | 0.011 | 0.015 | 0.005 |
| Accuracy (%) | 105.60 | 103.71 | 95.41 |
| CV (%) | 1.38 | 2.09 | 1.64 |

Table 3: Dilution integrity testing

The performance of the present method is comparable to previous studies with LLOQ (0.20 vs. 0.15 and 0.25 μ mol / L) and imprecision (7.90 vs. 8.49 and 4.10%) similar to those found on Dimension® Xpand and Unicel DxC600® (MET method) respectively [7, 8]. Stability on these two analyzers was however lower with 28 days, while the Mindray BS-240 method was stable over 35 days with a single calibration (Table 4).

| Storage temperature (°C) | + | 4 | - 20 | | |
|------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|--|
| Quality Controls (µmol/L) | 0.35 | 1.00 | 0.35 | 1.00 | |
| Tests | | | | | |
| D1 | 0.33 | 1.07 | 0.34 | 1.04 | |
| D7 | 0.34 | 1.06 | 0.34 | 1.10 | |
| D14 | 0.37 | 1.10 | 0.33 | 1.08 | |
| D21 | 0.33 | 1.11 | 0.34 | 1.08 | |
| D28 | 0.36 | 1.01 | 0.36 | 1.06 | |
| D35 | 0.36 | 1.12 | 0.34 | 1.11 | |
| Mean (µmol/L) | 0.348 | 1.078 | 0.342 | 1.078 | |
| SD (µmol/L) | 0.016 | 0.045 | 0.011 | 0.019 | |
| Accuracy (%) | 99.52 | 107.83 | 97.62 | 107.83 | |
| CV (%) | 4.72 | 4.20 | 3.21 | 1.81 | |

Table 4: Stability evaluation

CONCLUSION

MTX EMIT method assay adaptation on the Mindray BS-240 analyzer was successful and responded favorably to all specification of the EMA's guideline on bioanalytical method validation. Introduction of MTX dosing in emergency departments ensures 24-hour determination of methotrexatemia which can help clinicians in therapeutic monitoring of their patients and early diagnosis of potential toxicities related to high dose MTX treatments.

January-February

2018

RJPBCS



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to express their gratitude to lab teams for participation in this study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Appendix A: Mindray BS-240 setting

| Chem | MTX | Chemistry | Met | hotrexate | |
|------------|---------|--------------|------|-----------|--|
| Chem N° | | MAJ Name | METH | IOTREXATE | |
| Reac. Type | Kinetic | Direction | Ir | icrease | |
| Pri. Wave | 340 nm | Sec. Wave | 4 | 405 nm | |
| Decimal | 0.01 | Sample Type | 9 | Serum | |
| h blank | | Reac. Time | 3 | 3 10 | |
| Unit | μmol/L | Incuba. Time | | 7 | |

| | Sample | | Aspirated | | Dil. | | | Rctf Vol. | | Dil. | |
|----------|--------|----|-----------|----|------|----|----|-----------|----|------|----|
| | Vol. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | 5 | μL | | μL | | μL | R1 | 150 | μL | | μL |
| Reduce | | μL | | μL | | μL | R2 | 150 | μL | | μL |
| Increase | | μL | | μL | | μL | R3 | | μL | | μL |
| | | | | | | | R4 | | μL | | μL |

| Linearity range (standard) | 0.2 | 1.2 | Linearity Lim. | 1 | |
|----------------------------|--------|-------|----------------------|--------|-------|
| Linearity range (reduce) | | | Substrate depletion | | |
| Linearity range (increase) | | | Mix blank Abs | -40000 | 40000 |
| R1 Blank Abs | -40000 | 40000 | Integrated stability | 35 | Day |
| Blank response | -40000 | 40000 | Rctf Alarm Limit | | |
| Double Chemistry | | | | | |

| Prozor | ne check | | | | |
|------------------|----------|----|--------|-----|--|
| Q1 | | Q2 | | Q3 | |
| Q4 | | PC | | ABS | |
| Use qual. result | | | | | |
| | Range | | Marker | | |

| SI | ope and Offset | t | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------|-----|-----------|----|------------------------|--------|
| | | | Slope | | Intercept | Unit |
| | | | 1 | | 0 | µmol/L |
| I | Pretreatment | | | | | |
| Pretrea | Pretreatment sample Vol. | | | μL | Pretreatment Rctf Vol. | μL |
| Range Def | | | | | | |
| Sample | Sex | Age | Range Def | | Critic range | Unit |

| Calibration | | | |
|-------------|-------------------|------|-------------|
| Rule | Multipoint Linear | MTX0 | 0.00 μmol/L |
| Sensitivity | | MTX1 | 0.20 μmol/L |
| Replicates | 1 | MTX2 | 0.30 μmol/L |

9(1)



| Interval (day) | 35 | MTX3 | 0.50 μmol/L |
|---------------------------|----|------|-------------|
| Blank response | | MTX4 | 0.75 μmol/L |
| Error limit | | MTX5 | 1.00 μmol/L |
| Determination coefficient | | MTX6 | 1.20 μmol/L |

Appendix B: Reagents, calibrators and quality controls preparation

Reagents

| Diluted b | uffer | 13.3 mL conce | ntrated buffer + 150 mL distilled water |
|---------------|-------|-------------------------------|--|
| Reagent R1 | Rea | gent A + 3 mL distilled water | 3 mL Reagent A + 20 mL diluted buffer Transfer the mix to a Mindray reagent cartridge |
| Reagent R2 | Rea | gent B + 3 mL distilled water | 3 mL Reagent B + 20 mL diluted buffer Transfer the mix to a Mindray reagent cartridge |

Calibrators

Reconstitute all calibrators with 1 mL distilled water. Calibration curve includes the following concentrations:

| 0.00 µmol/L | | |
|-------------|--|--|
| 0.20 µmol/L | | |
| 0.30 µmol/L | (200 μL of 1.50 μmol/L calibrator + 800 μL diluted buffer) | |
| 0.50 µmol/L | | |
| 0.75 μmol/L | (200 μL of 1.50 μmol/L calibrator + 200 μL diluted buffer) | |
| 1.00 µmol/L | | |
| 1.20 µmol/L | (600 μL of 2.00 μmol/L calibrator + 400 μL diluted buffer) | |

Quality controls

Dilution of methotrexate commercial solution dosed at 100 mg/mL (0.22 mol/L).

Stock solution (SS) preparation by commercial solution (CS) dilution: 1^{st} dilution: 4.55 mL CS + distilled water in quantity sufficient for 1000 mL 2^{nd} dilution: 1 mL of 1^{st} dilution + distilled water in quantity sufficient for 500 mL \rightarrow SS SS concentration = 2.002 µmol/L

Stock solution is therefore diluted in pooled serum from patients not taking MTX.

| Lower Limit of Quantification | Low Quality Control | Medium Quality Control | High Quality Control |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| 0.2002 µmol/L | 0.3503 μmol/L | 0.5005 μmol/L | 1.001 μmol/L |
| 100 µL SS | 350 μL SS | 250 μL SS | 500 μL SS |
| + | + | + | + |
| 900 μL serum | 1650 μL serum | 750 μL serum | 500 μL serum |



REFERENCES

- [1] Cohen IJ, Wolff JE. How long can folinic acid rescue be delayed after high-dose methotrexate without toxicity? Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2014;61(1):7-10.
- [2] Le Guellec C, Blasco H, Benz I, Hulin A. Niveau de preuve du suivi thérapeutique pharmacologique du méthotrexate au décours de son administration à haute-dose. Therapie. 2010;65(3):163-9.
- [3] European Medicines Agency Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use. Guideline on bioanalytical method validation. [EMA Web Site] Available at: http://www.ema.europa.eu/ 2012. Accessed December 16, 2017.
- [4] International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use. Validation of analytical procedures: Text and methodology Q2(R1). [ICH Web Site]. Available at: http://www.ich.org/ 2005. Accessed December 16, 2017.
- [5] Mikkelsen TS, Mamoudou AD, Tuckuviene R, Wehner PS, Schroeder H. Extended duration of prehydration does not prevent nephrotoxicity or delayed drug elimination in high-dose methotrexate infusions: A prospectively randomized cross-over study. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2014;61(2):297-301.
- [6] Reutenauer S, Chauveau D, Récher C. Surdosage au méthotrexate: complications, prise en charge et prévention. Réanimation. 2009;18(7):654-8.
- [7] Cohen S, Berny C, Manchon M. Adaptation du dosage plasmatique du méthotrexate sur Dimension[®] Xpand. Ann Biol Clin (Paris). 2006;64(5):471-7.
- [8] Saada S, Olichet B, Bronzini T, Berrafato S, Lokiec F, Rezaï K, et al. Adaptation du dosage sérique du méthotrexate sur Unicel DxC600[®]. Ann Biol Clin. 2012;70(3):277-86.